

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/863,268

Applicant(s)

KRAFT ET AL.

Examiner

Peter Choi

Art Unit

3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Choi (PTO).

(3) Alun Palmer (applicant representative).

(2) Susanna Diaz (PTO).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed amendment

Identification of prior art discussed: Thompson et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiners Choi and Diaz provided suggestions on how to differentiate the claimed invention from prior art. Also suggested were more detailed, specific, and positively recited elements within the claims.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Susanna Diaz
Examiner's signature, if required